



**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 8-K**

**CURRENT REPORT**

**Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): July 19, 2006 (July 17, 2006)**

**BlastGard International, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Colorado**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation)

**333-47294**  
(Commission File Number)

**84-1506325**  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

**12900 Automobile Blvd., Suite D, Clearwater, Florida**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**33762**  
(Zip Code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (727) 592-9400**

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report.)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))



**Item 8.01. Other Events**

On September 12, 2005, we were served with a lawsuit that was filed in the Second Judicial District Court in Washoe County, Nevada as case number CV-05-02072. The plaintiff in the lawsuit was Verde Partners Family Limited Partnership (“Verde”). The lawsuit makes a variety of claims and contends that the Company and certain officers of the Company misappropriated certain technology, including two patents, and seeks damage “in excess of \$10,000”. The action was removed to federal court in Nevada. We filed a motion to have the case dismissed as to BlastGard International, Inc., and all other defendants, for lack of personal jurisdiction. There was also a motion for a more definite statement in that three of the claims by Verde are conclusory, vague and ambiguous.

On July 14, 2006, the United States District Court rendered its decision in this case. It was ordered and adjudged that the motion to dismiss the individual defendants and the motion to dismiss the BlastGard defendants was granted. Defendants’ motion for a more definite statement is moot. The Court entered judgment on July 17, 2006 in favor of all Defendants and against the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff has 30 days from the date of the judgment (July 17) to file a notice of appeal.

On July 19, 2006, we filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit in Pinellas County, Florida. The Defendants in the lawsuit are Sam Gettle, Guy Gettle and Verde Partners Family Limited Partnership (“Verde”). The lawsuit contends that the Defendants have committed defamatory acts against BlastGard International and its products. The lawsuit also asks for a declaration that BlastGard International is not liable for the acts complained of in the Nevada action. On BlastGard’s affirmative claims for defamation, the Florida action seeks injunctive relief and damages in excess of \$15,000, exclusive of attorney’s fees and costs.

**Item 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure.**

On July 19, 2006, the Company issued a press release to announce the court decision (as described above under Item 8.01). A copy of the press release is attached as an exhibit hereto.

**Item 901. Financial Statements and Exhibits.**

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
99.01	Press release dated July 19, 2006. (Filed herewith.)



**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

**BLASTGARD INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

By: /s/ James F. Gordon  
James F. Gordon, Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 19, 2006



EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
99.01	Press release dated July 19, 2006. (Filed herewith.)



**Exhibit 99.01**

**LAWSUIT AGAINST BLASTGARD INTERNATIONAL DISMISSED**

**CLEARWATER FL — July 19, 2006** — The lawsuit against BlastGard International, Inc. (OTCBB: BLGA), provider of blast-mitigation products and services, has been dismissed. On July 17, 2006, the United States District Court entered its judgment in favor of all Defendants, and against the Plaintiff.

On September 12, 2005, BlastGard was served with a lawsuit filed in the Second Judicial District Court in Washoe County, Nevada, as case number CV-05-02072. The plaintiff in the lawsuit, Verde Partners Family Limited Partnership, sought compensation for damages “in excess of \$10,000” for misappropriating certain technologies, including two patents. The lawsuit made a variety of claims and contended that BlastGard and certain officers of the Company were responsible. The action was removed to federal court in Nevada. BlastGard filed a motion to have the case against BlastGard International, Inc. and all other defendants dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction. There was also a motion for a more definite statement in that three of the claims by Verde were conclusory, vague and ambiguous.

On July 14, 2006, the United States District Court rendered its decision in this case, ordering and adjudging that the motion to dismiss the individual defendants as well as the motion to dismiss the BlastGard defendants be granted. The Defendants’ motion for a more definite statement was moot. The Court entered judgment on July 17, 2006 in favor of all Defendants and against the Plaintiff.

On July 19, 2006, BlastGard filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit in Pinellas County, Florida. The Defendants in the lawsuit are Sam Gettle, Guy Gettle and Verde Partners Family Limited Partnership (“Verde”). The lawsuit contends that the Defendants have committed defamatory acts against BlastGard International and its products. The lawsuit also asks for a declaration that BlastGard International is not liable for the acts complained of in the Nevada action. On BlastGard’s affirmative claims for defamation, the Florida action seeks injunctive relief and damages in excess of \$15,000, exclusive of attorney’s fees and costs.

**About BlastGard International, Inc.**

BlastGard International, Inc. creates, designs, develops, manufactures and markets proprietary blast mitigation materials. The Company’s patent-pending BlastWrap® technology effectively mitigates blast effects and suppresses post-blast fires. This unique technology can be used to create new, finished products or be used to retrofit to existing products. While the need for this technology has always been present, the security and safety concerns resulting from the September 11, 2001 acts and the subsequent development of Homeland Security make the timing of the Company’s emergence even more important. The Company’s core market focus is on blast effects mitigation for the commercial sector, military, law enforcement and government agencies. BlastWrap® is based upon well-defined principles and suppresses blast pressures by 50% or more. BlastWrap® products are made from two flexible films arranged one over the other and joined by a plurality of seams filled with attenuating filler material (volcanic glass bead or other suitable two-phase materials), configurable (designed for each application) with an extinguishing coating that offers a revolutionary blast protection system against Blast & Fire/burn threats. BlastWrap® is a blast mitigation assembly that can be wrapped around or conform to any shape. BlastWrap® is a concept (not a chemical compound) from which blast protection products are built to save lives and reduce damage to valuable assets from explosions. Additional information on BlastGard can be found at <http://www.blastgardintl.com>.



*“Safe Harbor” statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: Except for historical information, all of the statements, expectations and assumptions contained in the foregoing are forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. It is possible that the assumptions made by management are not necessarily the most likely and may not materialize. In addition, other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include the following: the Company’s ability to market its products; the Company’s ability to obtain additional funding; the Company’s ability to obtain regulatory approvals on new products, the general economy; competitive factors; ability to attract and retain personnel; the price of the Company’s stock; and other risk factors. The Company takes no obligation to update or correct forward-looking statements.*

**Company Contact:**

BlastGard International, Inc.  
Michael J. Gordon  
(727) 592-9400

**Investor Relations Contact:**

The Investor Relations Group  
Antima “Taz” Sadhukhan or Michael Crawford  
(212) 825-3210

**Media Contact:**

Mike Graff  
(212) 825-3210